



Allocation Prioritization Matrix

Name of Evaluator:

Instructions: After reading and evaluating each Grant Project in each separate Grant Tier (each Grant Tier has a different tab below), please use the drop down menu in each Factor Score cell to select your choice (0=Not at all, 1=Poor, 3=Neutral, or 5=Exceptional) for the 6 factors below. Your scores will automatically be multiplied by the weight number and totaled below.

CRITERIA	SCORING VALUES	Project A	Project B	Project C	Project D	Project E	Project F	Project G
	Give each factor a score of between 0-5 points.							
	0 = Not at All							
	5 = Exceptional							
Multi-Year Funding (new projects not eligible)	Yes or No							
Allocation Total Requested Amount								
Allocation Requested Amount Per Year								
Name of Project								
Project Category	R or U							
Project Location								
TOTAL SCORE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1. Alignment with the MLR Strategic Plan/Guiding Principles	Yes or No							
2. Alignment with the MLR Allocation Strategy Broad Objective	Yes or No							
3. Alignment with one of the funding categories and their objectives per the Allocation Strategy	Yes or No							
4. Does it meet the 50/50 match component and not exceed the 25% In Kind? (At least 25% of total must be in cash)	Yes or No							
5. Previous Measure R or U Awards	Yes or No							
6. Project Readiness/Schedule:								
• Does the schedule appear feasible?								
• Is the project ready to be implemented?								
• Does the program/project budget appear feasible?								
• Is it likely to be finished in the allotted time?								
• Has the planning been initiated or completed?								
• Is the property under control of the applicant or are all contracts and agreements in place?								
Project Program Readiness/Schedule Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Community Need:								
• Does the applicant make a good case that this project will fulfill the Broad Objective and Guiding Principles?								
• Will the project provide a public benefit for the community?								
• Does it address the needs of the community?								
• Would it increase length of a tourist visit or add to the visitation for the area?								
• Would it draw or help retain long-term residents?								
• Is there sufficient evidence to support these claims?								
• Does it encourage the local population to take part?								
Community Need Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Economic Impact:								
• Does the applicant make a good case for this project serving economic development needs?								
• Does it lend indirect support to area businesses?								
• Will it create long term partnerships or shared resources?								
• Is there sufficient evidence to support these claims?								
Economic Impact Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Improved Access:								
• Will the project grant real public access? (Affordability & Accessibility)								
• Will ADA accessibility be incorporated and/or will it be designed to encourage use by all populations?								
• Does this project duplicate other activities or facilities?								
• Will it enhance public transit?								
Improved Physical & Recreational Access Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Miscellaneous Section:								
• Is the program / project accessible to the community via public transportation and multi-use paths?								
• Is this a long term funding requirement? (Low score = long-term funding needed; high score = short-term funding needed)								
• Is the program/project ADA accessible?								
• Does this enhance a public facility or future proposed facility?								
• Are there scholarships?								
• Economic considerations: Are there opportunities for sponsorships and/or partnerships?								
Miscellaneous Section Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SCORE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

