



Allocation Funding Filter

Name of Evaluator:

Instructions: After reading and evaluating each Grant Project in each separate Grant Tier (each Grant Tier has a different tab below), please use the drop down menu in each Factor Score cell to select your choice (0=Not at all, 1=Fair, 2=Good, or 3=Exceptional) for the 6 factors below. Your scores will automatically be multiplied by the weight number and totaled below.

CRITERIA	SCORING VALUES	WEIGHT	GRANT PROJECT A	GRANT PROJECT B	GRANT PROJECT C	GRANT PROJECT D	GRANT PROJECT E	GRANT PROJECT F	GRANT PROJECT G
	Give each factor a score of between 0-3 points.	Multiply the score value by the weight number							
	0= Not at all								
	1= Fair								
	2= Good								
	3= Exceptional								
Allocation Amount									
Name of Project									
Project Category		-----							
Project Location									
			FACTOR SCORE	FACTOR SCORE	FACTOR SCORE	FACTOR SCORE	FACTOR SCORE	FACTOR SCORE	FACTOR SCORE
<b>1. Alignment with the MLR Strategic Plan</b>	Yes or No								
<b>2. Alignment with the MLR Allocation Strategy Broad Objective</b>	Yes or No								
<b>3. Alignment with one of the funding categories and their objectives</b>	Yes or No								
<b>4. Does it meet the 50/50 match component and not exceed the 25% In Kind?</b>	Yes or No								
<b>5. Previous Measure R or U Awards</b>	Yes or No								
<b>6. Project Readiness/Schedule (Weight X4):</b>									
• Does the schedule appear feasible?		x4							
• Is the project ready to be implemented?		x4							
• Is it likely to be finished in the allotted time?		x4							
• Has the planning been initiated or completed?		x4							
• Is the property under control of the applicant or are all contracts and agreements in place?		x4							
<b>Project Program Readiness/Schedule Total</b>	15 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Weighted Readiness/Schedule Total</b>	60 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>7. Community Need (Weight x4):</b>									
• Does the applicant make a good case that this project will fulfill the broad objective and strategy?		x4							
• Were public meetings held to involve local citizens in the planning?		x4							
• Will the project provide a public benefit for the community?		x4							
• Does it encourage the local population to take part?		x4							
• Does it address the needs of populations of concern?		x4							
<b>Community Need Total</b>	15 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Weighted Community Need Total</b>	60 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>8. Economic Impact (Weight X3):</b>									
• Does the applicant make a good case for this project serving economic development needs?		x3							
• Does it lend indirect support to area businesses?		x3							
• Will it create long term partnerships or shared resources?		x3							
• Would it increase length of a tourist visit or add to the visitation for the area and/or would it draw or help retain long-term residents?		x3							
• Is there sufficient evidence to support these claims?		x3							
• Long term costs to ensure sustainability?		x3							
• Does this enhance a public facility?		x3							
• Is this a long-term capital investment?		x3							
<b>Economic Impact Total</b>	18 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Weighted Economic Impact Total</b>	54 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>9. Recreation and/or Arts &amp; Culture Value (Weight x3):</b>									
<b>Is this planning for future facilities?</b>									
• Will it be a draw to the area?		x3							
• Will the project have a significant impact on the community's existing infrastructure?		x3							
• Is it part of an approved TOML approved or accepted plan or MLR strategic plan?		x3							
• Would the project support more than one type of use?		x3							
• Is there a high demand for this type of infrastructure in the area?		x3							
<b>Recreation Value Total</b>	15 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Weighted Recreation Value Total</b>	45 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>10. Improved Access (Weight x3):</b>									
• Will it connect recreational areas?		x3							
• Given the project's location/situation, does the applicant make the case that the infrastructure will get real use?		x3							
• Will the project grant real public access? (Affordability & Accessibility)		x3							
• Will ADA accessibility be incorporated and/or will it be designed to encourage use by all populations?		x3							
• Does this project duplicate other activities or facilities?		x3							
• Will it enhance public transit?		x3							
<b>Improved Physical &amp; Recreational Access Total</b>	18 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Weighted Improved Physical &amp; Recreational Access Total</b>	54 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>11. BONUS Section (Weight x3):</b>									
• Does the project have partnerships? Does the project demonstrate coordinated partnerships among various groups: federal agencies, state agencies, local governments, non-profit groups, community volunteer groups, etc.?		x3							
• Regional special consideration: Will the project improve opportunities for area youth, minorities, veterans, or families or visitors?		x3							
• Economic considerations: Are there opportunities for sponsorships?		x3							
• Does this project help alleviate issues for community youth who find it difficult to get to outdoor recreational amenities because of distance and transportation issues?		x3							
<b>Bonus Section Total</b>	12 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Weighted Bonus Section Total</b>	36 possible		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE</b>			0	0	0	0	0	0	0